

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 09850 101807Z

66

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 USIA-12 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 OIC-04 IO-13 CU-04 DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-10 CIEP-02

COME-00 STR-08 AGR-20 OMB-01 RSR-01 ACDA-19 /174 W

----- 043404

R 101751Z JUL 73

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6206

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

USMISSION BERLIN

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 09850

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, GW

SUBJECT: CSCE: UPCOMING TASKS IN ALLIED CONSULTATIONS

REF: A. STATE 133361; B. BONN 9706 (NOTAL)

BEGIN SUMMARY: THIS CABLE REPORTS ON CURRENT FONOFF

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 09850 101807Z

THINKING CONCERNING UPCOMING TASKS IN ALLIED AND EC NINE

PREPARATIONS FOR CSCE COMMITTEE PHASE. END SUMMARY.

1. FONOFF REP CSCE DEPARTMENT CHIEF, VON GROLL, TOLD EMBOFF JULY 10 THAT MAJOR IMMEDIATE TASK FOR NATO WAS DRAFTING OF RESOLUTIONS ON ECONOMIC COOPERATION TOPICS FOR CSCE COMMITTEE PHASE. GROLL SAID HE THOUGHT THIS "TOO BIG A TASK" FOR EC NINE. HE HOPED NATO COULD DEVELOP DRAFT RESOLUTIONS BY THE END OF AUGUST SO THAT EC NINE CSCE AD HOC GROUP WOULD CONSIDER THEM AT THAT TIME.

2. ON THIRD BASKET, GROLL RECALLED THAT THE TWENTY-THREE PAPERS TABLED IN NATO BY EC NINE REPS BEFORE CSCE MINISTERIAL PURPOSELY TOOK FORM OF "NATIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS." GROLL SAID HE HOPED NON-EC MEMBER STATES IN NATO, PARTICULARLY THE US, WOULD PROVIDE EARLY COMMENTS ON THESE "NATIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS", AS THIS WOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR SPONSORS TO ACCEPT CHANGES.

3. GROLL SAID EC NINE CSCE SUB-GROUP MEETING JULY 17-18 IN COPENHAGEN WOULD FOCUS ON PRINCIPLES AND WOULD CONSIDER FRENCH AND SOVIET DRAFTS. IT IS THE GERMAN HOPE THAT, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING JULY 17-18 MEETING, THE NINE COULD INTRODUCE IN NATO EITHER A COMMON DRAFT OR FRENCH DRAFT FOR DETAILED ALLIED CONSIDERATION.

4. GROLL SAID THE ALLIES ALSO HAVE TO CONSIDER AT AN EARLY POINT HOW THE COORDINATING COMMITTEE WILL FUNCTION; FOR EXAMPLE, HOW MANY SUBCOMMITTEES, AND HOW OFTEN WILL THEY MEET. GROLL SAID THESE QUESTIONS WILL DEPEND TO SOME EXTENT ON THE TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES OF THE SWISS; GROLL IS VISITING GENEVA JULY 11 TO EXAMINE THESE QUESTIONS.

5. GROLL TOLD EMBOFF HE WAS PROPOSING TO HIS SUPERIORS THAT THE MEDITERRANEAN PARTICIPATION PROBLEM BE RESOLVED IN THE FOLLOWING WAY. SUBJECT TO TEST OF RELEVANCE WITH AGENDA, ANY CSCE MEMBER STATE COULD INTRODUCE WRITTEN CONTRIBUTION OF CSCE NON-MEMBER STATE IN CSCE COMMITTEE, BUT NON-MEMBER STATES COULD ONLY INTRODUCE CONTRIBUTIONS THROUGH A MEMBER STATE. GROLL SAID HE THOUGHT THE FIFTEEN ALLIES SHOULD SUGGEST THIS APPROACH TO ALL THE OTHER CSCE MEMBER STATES BY NOTES VERBALE IN ORDER FINALLY TO RESOLVE THIS UNDULY TIME CONSUMING PROBLEM.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 09850 101807Z

6. GROLL SAID JULY 5-6 POLITICAL COMMITTEE MEETING AT HELSINKI BRIEFLY CONSIDERED FUTURE OF EC NINE-CSCE CONSULTATIONS DURING GENEVA COMMITTEE PHASE. THE FRENCH

IMPLIED THAT PROGRESS AT MPT MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR EC  
MEMBER STATES TO FOLLOW SOMEWHAT MORE INDEPENDENT COURSE  
AT GENEVA. DAVIGNON STRONGLY REBUTTED THIS SUGGESTION  
SAYING THAT THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS SHOULD INSTRUCT  
THEIR CSCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO CONTINUE CLOSE CONSULTATIONS  
DURING THE GENEVA PHASE. THE GERMANS ARGUED THAT NO  
NEW INSTRUCTIONS WERE NECESSARY, GIVEN THE POSITION TAKEN  
BY EC NINE MINISTERS JUNE 5 AT LUXEMBOURG. GROLL SAID  
THE GERMANS WILL SIMPLY PRESS THE FRENCH TO CONTINUE  
CSCE TO CONSULTATIONS AS BEFORE.  
HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 JUL 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973BONN09850  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS HILLENBRAND  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730744/aaaabgff.tel  
**Line Count:** 126  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 73 A. STATE 133361; B. BONN 9706 (NO, TAL)  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 13 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <13-Aug-2001 by shawdg>; APPROVED <10-Sep-2001 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CSCE: UPCOMING TASKS IN ALLIED CONSULTATIONS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, GE, CSCE  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005